

- PIPESTEM & APACHE TRIBE
- THE REAL THREAT
- SHAREHOLDER LAWSUIT

BY:
JENNY MILLER

THE MILLERIST

WHERE GOOD, HONEST OSAGES COME TO
READ

Attention Shareholders!

This newsletter is a special plea asking that you consider the blessing of the trust settlement funds you just received and ask yourselves if reading isn't a small price to pay for that blessing. For five years, your interest in the Mineral Estate has been in severe jeopardy. Yesterday, your Mineral Council took steps to seal the fate of the Mineral Estate by hiring (with your royalty interest income), the law firm of Akin, Gump, Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP. Wilson Pipestem, owner of Ietan Consulting is affiliated with this law firm and shares office space with Akin, Gump in Washington D.C.

This irresponsible move on the Mineral Council's part could be lethal to your headright income. This is the last link in the complete and total takeover of the Osage Mineral Estate. History and facts are available to you at my website. This battle has been ongoing for years with very little interest by you, the shareholder. If shareholders continue to ignore this situation, not only will you have no mental interest but the financial interest you have been enjoying will be diminished to near pennies.

Take time to read the posts that were posted on the Osage Shareholders forum yesterday, November 30, 2011, provided below. After those posts is a brief taste of Pipestem's involvement in the Apache v. Brown lawsuit. Hopefully your interest will then be peaked enough to read the entire case which includes a true physical hostile takeover of the Apache Casinos by armed guards, election tampering and missing funds. Acts such as these are occurring with Native Tribes across the Country and Ietan Consulting (Pipestem) has weaved his way into most of these Tribes of which are and have had similar issues such as ours. This is not a joke, this is not an attempt to place fear in you, this is an attempt to help you to

understand and take responsibility for your interest in the mineral estate before it is too late.

This Osage Nation Government that has taken over YOUR Osage Tribal government which was crafted by the U.S. Congress in 1906 for YOUR (the Shareholder's) protection is corrupt from the top down.

This letter will be posted on the NEWSLETTER page of my website. Under it will be the Apache v. Brown Complaint. Below that will be newsletters written in March of this year along with attachments. I'm putting these on that page today for your review.

A wealth of information can then be found at the OSAGE v. BUREAU page. To help you get an initial grasp of this situation, it would be best to read the timelines and the "Hostile Takeover" and "A Matter of Law" slides. All other information is important so that you will see the pattern of these illegal and corrupt acts that have befallen the Osage Tribe.

This is YOUR personal interest at jeopardy and your personal responsibility to protect it. You can do so by getting on board with your last hope, the Shareholder lawsuit.

Jenny Miller
December 1, 2011

COMMENTS POSTED ON FORUM

Akin Gump

From: Catherine
Date: 30 Nov 2011
Time: 09:32:04 -0500
Remote Name: 98.22.144.211

Comments

Congratulations MC - you have done it to us again!!!!!!!!!!!! Shareholders - look forward to payout out more for attorney fees and receiving less. This hiring of Akin and Gump is sickening.....just when I think the MC could not get any more irresponsible with our money and our rights..... - they do. Of course - it would not be surprising to hear that McCollough is gone because he is opposing Pope Pipestem in the Apache matter.

McCullough pretty much sealed his fate with the Nation when he began to represent the Apaches.

Re: Akin Gump

From: Request...
Date: 30 Nov 2011
Time: 12:55:20 -0500
Remote Name: 174.51.138.193

Comments

You appear to stand on the need for proper internet etiquette. Please be so kind as to share or provide a direct link that explains the "Apache Situation." Thank you. By the way, I have an old "Osage News" that states that Akin Gump came to "serve us" on a pro bono basis and that, it seems, is how the camel got its nose in the tent, so to speak. Now they are becoming a permanent appendage. Do we need the "services" of a firm this expensive? Or will their next move be to work with the U.S. and ON Congresses to increase the size of the current MC drawdown ASAP? The whole thing is just disgusting. The argument will, of course, where changing the CFR's are concerned, be that we need the best legal help money can buy. Are you on board with this Geoffrey or not? If you have a different point of view about a firm that has walked away with millions and millions of settlement dollars with a legal agreement that you "checked for legal accuracy but the final decision was the Chief's", we would like to have you explain it.

Re: Akin Gump

From: Catherine
Date: 30 Nov 2011
Time: 16:51:20 -0500
Remote Name: 98.22.144.211

Comments

Apache Tribe of Oklahoma vs. Brown, etal.....I believe you can google and find the documents. The Complaint was on Jenny Miller's website - but I can not locate the document this afternoon. If your google search is not successful, please post and let me know. I will find the information.

Apache Tribe of Oklahoma vs. Brown etal

From: Catherine
Date: 30 Nov 2011
Time: 17:05:19 -0500
Remote Name: 98.22.144.211

Comments

Re: Akin Gump

From: Cynthia
Date: 30 Nov 2011
Time: 11:24:10 -0500
Remote Name: 166.182.3.68

Comments

The fact of the matter is that even though it was recommended to Galen Crum our chairman to have our staff attorney and our oil and gas attorney at yesterday's meeting, they were not even contacted. Your assessment of the Apache situation may be correct. One of my constituents thought that maybe Galen was bitter because we didn't hire his niece, Amanda Proctor. I was expecting a phone call to make an official vote this morning on hiring Akin & Gump but our secretary is on leave. I would have thought one of the other three staff could have done that task. The unofficial vote was Abbott, Core, Crum, Yates and Bear voting yes: Boone and Whitehorn voting no: Redeagle left the meeting and did not vote.

Follow up to Catherine's Post-Pipestem/Akin-Gump

From: Jenny Miller
Date: 30 Nov 2011
Time: 19:27:09 -0500
Remote Name: 24.117.13.238

Comments

Anyone who wants to learn more about our very serious concerns regarding the allowance of Pipestem into our affairs can still find issue after issue on the matter at my website by clicking on visiting: -----
http://www.jenny2010.com/SHAREHOLDER_V_BUREAU.html -----Specific newsletters and attachments regarding Pipestem and his Lobbying firm, Ietan Consulting are titled: Newsletter – “Between the Lines” dated March 26, 2011 and the attachment “THE IETAN STORY.” Then there is “News Flash” dated March 30, 2011 and below that is the Apache v. Brown et.al Complaint.-----Now that some seem to be taking notice, perhaps you and others can see our frustration at times when we can't get shareholders to pay attention or make an effort to learn.-----Frankly this is old news and my newsletters in the Archives of my website discuss matters such as this and others back to 2008.-----Wilson Pipestem has been behind all of this havoc since its inception and Jim Gray is the culprit who is responsible for instigating Pipestem's influence.-----Those of us who work on this business daily have more disturbing information than what we put before the public so when we seem a little irritated, I ask that you consider that we may be aware of more than we are able to share for legal reasons or otherwise.-----

--Perhaps those of you who take the time to read the newsletters and other documents provided at my website; my newsletters and our statements on this forum and other places will begin to make sense to you.-----We can only hope because I've stated many times that this is business, its real business and its real business in jeopardy.----- Reading and learning about your interest in the mineral estate is a small price to pay considering its rewards.-----It should be of interest to you that when we have dissenters such as we have on here posting all of the time, it is because they are in favor of this hostile takeover or merely ignorant of the facts. When it comes to the elected officials, they cannot claim to be ignorant of the facts because this information has been put in front of each and every one of them so we can only assume they are in favor of the takeover.-----The irritation today is the current elected officials are recruiting people to run for Congress who will support their ideas in order to hang on to the power and complete this takeover. In other words they are already controlling the 2012 election and they will win. You'll notice Pipestem's M.O is controlling elections in every tribe he is associated with. This is how the 2006 election and the implementation of H.R. 2912 was railroaded in on the Shareholders and why and how your very own Mineral Council is doing the same to you today.-----The only reason you hear about me so much (mostly bad) is because I tell the truth and provide accurate information and this goes against the grain of the usurpers. I occasionally get enough of the nonsense and dish is right back. When I do that, you would think I broke the heart of Gabriel!

Re: Apache Tribe of Oklahoma vs. Brown etal

From: Curtis Painter
Date: 30 Nov 2011
Time: 18:14:51 -0500
Remote Name: 149.169.221.109

Comments

You people are pathetic. This is a mere complaint and the Apache Tribe later wanted to drop the charges. How about posting an actual court document from a judge or jury? Your outright lies and fear mongering has truly reached new heights.

Re: Apache Tribe of Oklahoma vs. Brown etal

From: Catherine
Date: 30 Nov 2011
Time: 19:52:59 -0500
Remote Name: 98.22.144.211

Comments

Mr. Painter....the documents are of court record: Case no.....5:10- cv-00646-D In The United States District Court..... Western District of Oklahoma. Please do not imply that I made this up nor did I cause the action to come before the court - the

actions of the defendants - under the "leadership" of Pope Pipestem is where the problem lies.

Re: Apache Tribe of Oklahoma vs. Brown etal

From:

Date: 01 Dec 2011

Time: 00:29:07 -0500

Remote Name: 12.71.202.239

Comments

This is stupid. Someone hired Pipestem's firm to lobby for them. Big deal. So, by your standard, Wells Fargo is crooked too? lol Get real. Even IF this was anything, it doesn't even compare to the rape of Osage tribal funds by Elizabeth Homer and Gary Pitchlyn all these years. Curtis had it right, you guys are pathetic.

To 12.71.202.239

From: Jenny Miller

Date: 01 Dec 2011

Time: 05:21:46 -0500

Remote Name: 24.117.13.238

Comments

To: 12.71.202.239-----Naivety such as yours is what brings success to this travesty. You apparently aren't capable of grasping the situation and are unable to understand that this is a racketeering ring that involves a large group of players who have been coached and instructed by the "Great One."-----Your post indicates that you cannot wrap your head around the idea that Liz Homer and Gary Pitchlyn are part of the group and that their actions are orchestrated by Pipestem. We have people who go into office with good, honest intentions and within 6 months, their honesty and integrity goes directly out the window as does hundreds of thousands of dollars from the gaming enterprise and the LLC. Does this not tell you anything at all?-----When millions of dollars has come up missing and/or "unaccounted for" and people have changed what used to be their steadfast positions on important matters concerning the multi-million dollar mineral estate, how can one not wonder if they haven't been influenced by the debonair, smooth talking, con man from the east all at the loss of the Osage Peoples money?-----Anyone who doesn't ask themselves that question already knows the answer and they choose to ignore it or are a part of it.-----How about you try to learn something by watching and reading before you label anyone's opinion as stupid, etc., etc. Is it because you choose to ignore it or because you are a part of it?

Apache case

70. The Co-Conspirators, using tribal funds, hired Ietan Consulting and Wilson Pipestem to “lobby” on their behalf with the Department of Interior in Washington, D.C. The Co-Conspirators used tribal funds to pay themselves for legal services rendered, travel expenses to Washington to lobby the federal government, and other expenses in connection with their attempt to subvert the Apache Tribe’s government and continue to exercise control over the Apache Tribe through Chalepah and Rivera. Ietan Consulting and Pipestem were put on notice that they were not properly engaged by the Apache Tribe, yet they accepted substantial monies from the Co-Conspirators that came from Apache Tribal funds, and continued to aid and abet the Co-Conspirators in their conspiracy to control the Apache Tribe and continue receiving monies funded through Wells Fargo loan proceeds.

82. Prior to the January 2009 decision, some or all of the Co-Conspirators made multiple trips to Washington, D.C. to lobby the Department of Interior to engage in ex parte communications with those who would have input into the January 2009 decision. In addition, the Co-Conspirators engaged Ietan Consulting and Pipestem to aid and abet their cause, and paid Ietan Consulting and Pipestem with tribal funds. The Co-Conspirators made misrepresentations to federal government officials in their attempt to have the Department of the Interior declare that Carratini, Ahtone, and Banderas were not proper members of the Business Committee.

96. Due to the Co-Conspirators’ actions, on June 8, 2010, the regional Director of the Southern Plains Regional Office of the BIA issued a letter reiterating that the decisions dated May 19 and May 25, 2020 advised that the tribal election would be recognized and the successful candidates in that election would represent the Apache Tribe in conducting business with the BIA. The Regional Director recognized that the Co-Conspirators’ actions were causing damage to the Apache Tribe, as the Regional Director stated:

It has come to our attention that the situation at the Apache Tribal Office continues to be uncertain and that programs operated by the Tribe may be in jeopardy, which would deprive tribal members of essential services such as Indian Child Welfare, child protection, and welfare assistance. The reduction or disruption of these services would put at risk children and families. Also, the Apache Tribal Chairman and two business committee members are representatives to the Kiowa-Comanche-Apache Inter-Tribal Land Use Committee, which is responsible for the leasing and use of KCA trust lands. Without the Apache Tribe represented on the KCAILUC, this committee would not conduct business relating to jointly-held

tribal lands. This would not only impact the Apache Tribe but the Kiowa and Comanche Tribes' trust resources. It is also noted that the uninterrupted operation of the Tribe's economic enterprises, including its casino, is necessary to the sustained welfare of the tribal community.

In order to assure the safety of children and to protect the Apache Tribe's trust resources, as well as that of the Kiowa and Comanche Tribes in the Kiowa-Comanche-Apache jointly held lands, we are making the decision dated May 2010, effective immediately pursuant to 25 Code of Federal Regulations §2.6(a)

S. The Co-Conspirators used backdated resolutions to withdraw Apache Tribe operating and casino accounts from one bank and deposited them in another bank in an effort to deny duly elected officials of the Apache Business Committee access to the Apache tribal funds.

97. After the BIA's letter of May 19, 2010 recognizing those elected and certified in March 2010, the Co-Conspirators had a problem: they were about to lose control of bank accounts holding tribal operating and casino funds from which they were paying themselves and others (like Ietan Consulting and Pipestem) to fight the results of the March 2010 election. The Co-Conspirators also faced substantial risk that those elected to the Business Committee would have the resources to investigate the conduct of the Co-Conspirators and hold them accountable for their actions.
98. On May 20, 2010, the former members of the Business Committee held a meeting. Purporting to continue to exercise tribal authority, the minutes of the meeting reveal that they passed resolutions opening bank accounts at First Band & Trust in Norman, backdated the resolutions to May 14, and authorized signature cards that would make it difficult, if not impossible, for the members of the elected Business Committee (recognized a day earlier by the BIA) to exercise control over the funds. The Co-Conspirators, using the backdated resolutions, withdrew tribal funds from tribal bank accounts at one bank and fraudulently attempted to deposit them in the First Bank & Trust under signature cards that permitted limited access, all in order to remain in control of the funds.
99. On May 21, 2010, two days after the BIA decision, the Co-Conspirators caused the funds to be transferred to First Bank & Trust.
100. Due to the Co-Conspirators' action, First Bank & Trust refuses to release the funds to the duly elected and certified Business Committee. As of the date of the filing of this Complaint, the Co-Conspirators continue to attempt to

assert control over the Apache tribal funds, and are refusing to acknowledge the Business Committee.

107. Despite the May 19, May 25, and June 8, 2010 letters from the BIA; despite the undated letter from the Department of Interior; and despite the decisions of the Tribal Council, the supreme governing body of the Apache Tribe, the Co-Conspirators refuse to permit the banks holding the funds of the Apache Tribe to allow the Business Committee to utilize those funds. The Co-Conspirators are attempting to cover up their own wrongful conduct, and trying to prevent being held accountable for it. However, the effect of their actions is to prevent the Apache Tribe's government from properly functioning, to prevent the casino from running properly, and to prevent the Apache Tribe being able to pay for essential services for its members and to meet payroll.

Claims for Relief

First claim for relief: Violation of 18 U.S.C. §1962(c) - Conducting the Affairs of the Enterprise Through a Pattern of Racketeering Activity ("RICO")

(Against the Co-Conspirator Defendants)

108. The Co-Conspirator Defendants are each a liable "person" within the meaning of §§1961(3) and 1962(c) of RICO.
109. The Co-Conspirator Defendants committed at least two predicate acts of "Racketeering activity" as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. §1961(1). The predicate acts include, among other things, mail fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344.
110. The predicate acts of "racketeering activity" committed by the Co-Conspirator Defendants constitute a "pattern of racketeering activity" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §1961(5).
111. Each Co Conspirator Defendant directly or indirectly participated in the conduct of an "enterprise" as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. §1961(4)

(and so on and so forth through 11 claims for relief pages 33-38)