




INHERITANCE IS PERSONAL

BY:
JENNY MILLER
APRIL 15, 2011



**Today, April 15th
10:00 A.m.
Mineral Council
Meeting**

The agenda for today's Mineral Council meeting is attached to this newsletter.

One of the Litigants in the Shareholder lawsuit to protect the mineral trust will speak sometime in the second half of the meeting.

To listen live online to the meeting or to listen later to the archived meeting go to:
<http://www.osagetribe.com>

[/](#)
In the right hand corner there is a yellow strip filled with *quick Links* click on *Listen Live to Mineral Council Meeting* and follow directions from there.

If you aren't able to listen to the live meeting, you can listen to the recorded version by selecting *Audio/Video Archive*. How soon it will be available in the archives I couldn't say.

There will be a roundtable discussion also that sounds very interesting.

Osage News April 2011

If you haven't read your April Osage News paper yet, here are some things to be aware of:

This is the second time I've heard people say their reason for not supporting the Shareholder (Jech) Lawsuit is "because it's personal." Well, we can't help it if it's personal; it has been personal for over 100 years! Nothing has changed except the mindset of some of those who have a problem with that!

Stay with me here on this subject because it really can't get any better than this!

How a person's Last Will and Testament can be anything other than personal, I cannot conceive. We all know that a headright share in the mineral estate is upon the receipt of a loved one's

death – there is no other way!

John Red Eagle and Geoffrey Standing Bear have; in writing, stated they didn't support the lawsuit and would NOT fund it.

Standing Bear goes so far to say: "Stay out of the Shareholder funds!"

In this newsletter, I will share with you some "personal" thoughts from different Shareholders on this matter. Some will amaze you, some will make you proud.

Before I post these, I want you to take care when reading the Osage News. Throughout the articles regarding the Jech Lawsuit on page 2 and 4 and Red Eagle's State of the Nation address on page 14, you will clearly see that they completely believe that the Mineral Trust/Estate belongs to the Nation and that the NATION won the Trust case Tranche one, etc., etc. (BEWARE) & remember ----TRIBE is the

key word here. These folks are trying to pull an OIT on us! (Old Indian Trick)!

The following are posts written on the OSA Forum:

Geoffrey Standing Bear:

April 8, 2011

“If Jech plaintiffs want to challenge the CFR issue then they need to do it by following the CFR challenge procedure which is what the federal court just told them. The lawsuit was wrongly filed. Everyone has a right to file challenges and lawsuits. Just use your own money and stay out of shareholder funds.”

Hardrope (Moniker) April 9, 2011

“There are many shareholders who do not support the Jech lawsuit, why should "their" money be used against their wishes then? Your argument can go both ways.”

Unknown Shareholder April 9, 2011

“ Well, of course there are shareholders that do not support the Jech case. The executive branch, the congress, and even some members of the minerals council. Naturally, these people do not support the Jech case because they enjoy the salaries of \$65,000 to \$100,000 + stipends + employee benefits while working part-time.

The average value of a full headright per year is nowhere near the base salary of those individuals running the government. Thus, for the government officials it is

merely giving up a small value of a headright for the greater value their jobs. However, they could retain their headrights while continuing with this government. The solution is to isolate the mineral matters from the government. That way, the headrights will not be diminished and the Osage nation government can continue to operate without the worry of shareholders filing claims against it.

The shareholders I communicate with are simply trying to protect their interest. They are not trying to dismantle this government. Unfortunately, it is the greed of this government that is causing all this contention because it demands that the minerals belong to the Osage nation (government) and not the shareholders. If this government would keep to its own issues without intrusion of the mineral matters, there would be no reason for any type of litigation at all.

I must point out however, that if the shareholders truly wish to content the validity of this Osage nation government, they could legally bring up the matter in court. This government is a de facto type of government. It was created against the will of the legal members of the tribe (the shareholders.) The shareholder rights were denied and violated. It was created in defiance of federal laws.

Also, the takeover of the minerals accounts is in direct conflict and in serious violation the federal laws that are intended to protect the shareholders from such

intrusion whether it is by a private individual, a corporate entity, or a government of any kind.

The shareholders who support the Jech case to protect their headrights have a very strong action as a matter law. If the minerals are not isolated from the government and the shareholders are forced to go to court, the shareholders could very well decide to contend to validity of the this current government as well.

It would be in the best interest of this government to comply with the wishes of the shareholders because the very existence of the Osage nation government could be in dire jeopardy.

The shareholders will prevail by either the administrate process or in court. How badly this government wills to lose is up the government itself. The Osage nation government officials could either relinquish their control of the minerals or keep their government as it is, or continue with the takeover and face the very real and very serious potential of losing everything.”

Unknown Shareholder April 8, 2011

“The point about pledging funds for the case to save the Mineral Estate it is the Minerals Council that should be fighting this on behalf of the shareholders rather than leaving it to individual shareholders to fight on their own.----It is their responsibility. ----When the BIA is deficient in their lawfully mandated duties it is the job of the Minerals Council to take them to task and

force them (the BIA) to do the job the law requires them to do. This is no different than the trust case. Then it was the federal government saying that individual shareholders had to bring the case themselves. The Nation said in essence, no it was their job and their right and the court agreed.---- When encroachment, constant attempted takeover and actual harm take place, in violation of federal law, it is the job of the Minerals Council to fight on behalf of those they have been elected to represent. Those on the Minerals Council that refuse to follow through on this commitment, in the face of loss of control of assets, need to be recalled. --- While pledging \$50,000 dollars (to help in funding the case to save the mineral estate) does not excuse the Mineral Council's responsibility to look after our assets, it did placate the ire of those shareholders that are angry that they (the Minerals Council) are not doing their job. When the Minerals Council refused to follow through on that commitment any pacification of fair-minded anger was spent.-- No one should expect institutions, whether they are the BIA or the Minerals Council, to refuse to do their job or allow them to get away with such dereliction of duty. --- To summarize this, the Minerals Council has an obligation to fully protect our assets under all aspects and full force of the law. Short of doing that directly, they need to follow through with the pledge of support they made to the case to save the Mineral Estate.”

Hardrope (Moniker) April 9, 2011

“So tell me, what do you suggest Congress do to "isolate" the minerals from the Government. Notwithstanding the fact that the headright is a federal property interest which only the US government can take away. Will a constitutional amendment do? A piece of legislation? Or do you propose invalidating the entire Osage Nation by repealing HR 2912 and put the Osage Nation back in the place it was for over 95 years; the only tribe in America that could not determine its membership. What exactly can Congress do? “

Jenny Miller – April 9, 2011

“Reply to Hardrope – What do we suggest?-----There is no reason to repeal H.R. 2912.---- All that has to be done is to follow what it says.-----It's just that simple.-----HR 2912 DID NOT appeal, amend or change in any way the 1906 Act.-----Read it, read it, read it.----Then read the Senate Report that details specifically what the U.S. Senate was thinking when they passed it and you will see that the only people NOT following the law here is the OSAGE NATION.-- ----ALL we are demanding is that they FOLLOW FEDERAL LAW.-----Plain and simple.---- Seriously it really is that simple.----Go to: <http://www.jenny2010.com/> ---- ---Click on the HOT TOPICS link and you will find the Senate Report there. Read everything with emphasis on the highlighted areas of grey and yellow.-----Be CERTAIN to read the last paragraph of the report.-----Thanks.-----If you have questions about this feel free to e-mail me or call 918-260-5712.”

Unknown Shareholder April 9, 2011

“You are correct. However, I view Congress as pitiful people who have swallowed hook, line and sinker, the strategies of the IETAN group. The mission is to gain sovereignty.If we do not prevail on the Jech, they will eventually be successful. Picture yourselves SOVERN while you are sitting on a curb with a tin cup.”

--End of Posts—

Osage Shareholders Forum can be found at:
<http://www.osageshareholders.org/index.htm>

Select the “Osage Discussion Tab”

For complete and factual information regarding the Shareholder Lawsuit (Jech Lawsuit) and to contribute toward the legal fees in support of the case:

<http://osagemineralstrustprotection.com/faq.html>

AND

HOT TOPICS link at:

<http://www.jenny2010.com/>

Call anytime! 918-260-5712